Search for excited electrons in pp collisions with the CMS Detector at LHC

THESIS

submitted to

the University of Calcutta

for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Shilpi Jain

Affiliation:

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics

Sector-1, Block-AF, Bidhannagar

Kolkata-700064

INDIA

February 2014

Contents

Contents **i**

List of Figures **v**

List of Tables **x**

1 The Theoretical Perspective **5**
1.1 The Standard Model . . . 5
1.1.1 Gauge Symmetry . . . 6
1.1.2 The Standard Model Gauge group . . . 10
1.2 Excited leptons in pp collision . . . 14
1.2.1 Previous Measurement . . . 18
1.2.1.1 Indirect Searches . . . 18
1.2.1.2 Direct Searches . . . 19

2 The Experimental Apparatus **21**
2.1 The Large Hadron Collider . . . 21
2.2 Compact Muon Solenoid . . . 26
2.2.1 Tracker System . . . 27
2.2.2 Electromagnetic Calorimeter . . . 30
2.2.2.1 Selective Readout . . . 33
2.2.2.2 Readout and Energy Reconstruction . . . 35
2.2.2.3 Calibrated RecHit . . . 36
2.2.3 Hadron Calorimeter . . . 37
2.2.4 Muon Detector . . . 40
2.2.5 Monte Carlo Simulation . . . 42

3 Event Reconstruction and Physics Object Identification **44**
3.1 Data Acquisition and Trigger (DAQ) . . . 44
3.2 Primary Vertex Reconstruction . . . 46

3.3 Reconstruction of electrons and photons . . . 47
3.3.1 Energy clustering algorithms for photons and electrons . . . 48
3.3.1.1 Clustering in the Barrel Region - Hybrid algorithm . . . 49
3.3.1.2 Clustering in the Endcap Region - Multi5x5 algorithm . . . 49
3.4 Identification of electrons and photons . . . 51
3.4.1 Identification of electrons . . . 51
3.4.2 Identification of photons . . . 53
3.4.3 Selection variables . . . 54
3.4.3.1 Isolation variables . . . 55
3.4.3.2 Shower shape variables . . . 58
3.4.3.3 Photon conversion rejection . . . 61

4 Excited electrons analysis using 2010 and 2011 data 65
4.1 Introduction . . . 65
4.2 Data and MC Samples . . . 66
4.2.1 Data . . . 66
4.2.2 MC Data sets . . . 67
4.2.3 Pileup re-weighting . . . 68
4.3 Event Selection and Reconstruction . . . 70
4.3.1 High Level Trigger . . . 70
4.3.2 Event acceptance and Event selection . . . 72
4.3.3 Electron Identification . . . 75
4.3.4 Photon Identification . . . 75
4.3.5 Signal Efficiency and Background Expectation . . . 78
4.4 Standard Model Backgrounds . . . 80
4.4.1 Irreducible Standard Model Backgrounds . . . 80
4.4.2 Photon Fakes . . . 80
4.4.2.1 Definition of the Photon Fake Rate . . . 82
4.4.2.2 Determination of Real Photon Contamination . . . 83
4.4.2.3 Final Photon Fake Rate Estimation . . . 87
4.4.2.4 MC Verification of Photon Fake Rate Method . . . 88
4.4.3 Application of Photon Fake Rate to the Data . . . 90
4.4.4 Electron Fakes . . . 90
4.4.4.1 Application of Electron Fake Rate to Data . . . 91
4.5 Control Region . . . 92
4.5.1 Two HEEP selection: Reconstructing the Z peak . . . 92
4.5.2 Loose Photon ID region . . . 94
4.6 Signal Like Region . . . 97

4.7 Systematic uncertainties . . . 103
4.8 Results . . . 104
4.8.1 $e\gamma$ Pair Selection . . . 104
4.8.2 Event Yields and Limit Setting . . . 106
4.8.3 Interpolation . . . 111
4.9 Conclusions . . . 114

5 Simulation of the Electromagnetic Calorimeter 115
5.1 Tuning of shower shape variable in Fast Simulation . . . 115
5.2 Simulation procedure in Fast Simulation . . . 116
5.2.1 Tracker . . . 117
5.2.2 ECAL response . . . 118
5.2.3 HCAL response . . . 119
5.2.4 Muon detectors . . . 119
5.3 Tuning of shower shape variable $\sigma_{i\eta i\eta}$ . . . 119
5.3.1 Different ways tried for tuning the shower shape . . . 120
5.3.1.1 Increasing the Moliere radius . . . 120
5.3.1.2 Parametrization of Moliere Radius as a Function of Energy: Final Tuning . . . 127
5.3.2 Distributions after final tuning . . . 127
5.4 Upgrade of the ECAL Endcap . . . 130
5.5 Study of Energy Resolution of Shashlik Detector . . . 133
5.5.1 Study of sampling resolution and estimation of optimum number of layers . . . 134
5.5.2 Procedure and geometry setup in GEANT4 for estimation of number of layers . . . 135
5.5.3 Estimation of total energy resolution of a Shashlik detector . . . 137
5.6 Study of possibility of separation of π^0/γ using information from four fibers 143
5.6.1 Detector setup for π^0/γ separation study . . . 145
5.6.2 Study of various shower shapes . . . 146
5.6.3 Moment analysis . . . 149
5.6.4 Center of Gravity and the S-shape correction . . . 152
5.6.5 Coarse grain information: Linear and Log energy weighted, S shape corrected moment analysis . . . 157
5.6.6 Fine grain information: Linear and Log energy weighted, S shape corrected moment analysis . . . 158
5.6.7 Study using Multivariate Analysis (MVA) . . . 160
5.6.7.1 Detector setup and Samples used . . . 162

5.6.7.2 TMVA using random gun samples . . . 163
5.6.7.3 TMVA using fixed gun samples . . . 164
5.6.8 Illustration of application of idea from fixed gun samples using TMVA 165
5.6.9 Comparison of various methods . . . 167
5.6.10 Conclusion . . . 168

Appendices **172**

A Monte Carlo Truth Matching **173**

B Optimization Study to get the best Expected Limit **174**
B.0.11 Optimization of Z veto window . . . 174
B.0.12 Optimization of 2-D cut . . . 174

C 2D cut and interpolated exclusion **177**

D Cross-section calculation of single production of excited leptons via gauge mediation **187**
D.1 Introduction . . . 187
D.2 Vertices and Couplings . . . 188
D.2.1 $e^*e\gamma$ vertex . . . 189
D.2.2 e^*eZ vertex . . . 189
D.3 Matrix element calculation . . . 190
D.3.1 Calculation of $|\mathcal{M}_\gamma|^2$ . . . 192
D.3.2 Calculation of $|\mathcal{M}_Z|^2$ . . . 192
D.3.3 Calculation of $Re(\mathcal{M}_\gamma \mathcal{M}_Z^\dagger)$ . . . 192
D.4 Cross-Section . . . 194
D.5 Comparison of Gauge Interaction with Contact Interaction . . . 194

Bibliography **198**